

**Questionnaire
for the beneficiaries of the measure
"Improving the processing and marketing of agricultural and
fishery products (114)"**

***General questions pertaining to the implementation of the SAPARD
programme***

1. Please indicate the measure(s) for which you have submitted your proposal, as well as the number of proposals submitted for that measure.

1.1. Supporting investments of agricultural enterprises (111)	
1.2. Improving the processing and marketing of agricultural and fishery products (114)	
1.3. Development and renovation of villages and protection and conservation of the rural heritage (1305)	
1.4. Diversification of activities and developing economic activities to provide alternative incomes (1306)	
1.5. Improvement of rural infrastructure (1308)	

2. In connection with preparing and implementing your SAPARD project proposal(s), have you been informed of or given technical assistance (information day, training, professional advice, trade fora etc.) by staff members or employees commissioned by institutions carrying out the programme (excluding personal and telephone support by the SAPARD Office/ARDA)?

2.1. I have been informed and participated;	<input type="radio"/>
2.2. I have been informed but have not participated;	<input type="radio"/>
2.3. I have not been informed;	<input type="radio"/>

3. Evaluate on a scale of 1 to 5 (1=poor, 5=excellent) the helpfulness of the information provided by the SAPARD Office/ARDA concerning the preparation of the proposal.

1	<input type="radio"/>	2	<input type="radio"/>	3	<input type="radio"/>	4	<input type="radio"/>	5	<input type="radio"/>
---	-----------------------	---	-----------------------	---	-----------------------	---	-----------------------	---	-----------------------

4. If you have participated in a training related to SAPARD applications, please indicate to what extent you have found it helpful in preparing your proposal.

4.1. it has greatly helped in preparing the application;	<input type="radio"/>
4.2. it has partly helped in preparing the application;	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>

4.3. it has not helped in preparing the application;	<input type="radio"/>
--	-----------------------

5. How do you assess the earlier availability of information for potential applicants regarding SAPARD projects?

5.1. excellent;	<input type="radio"/>
5.2. good;	<input type="radio"/>
5.3. mediocre;	<input type="radio"/>
5.4. poor;	<input type="radio"/>
5.5. no information available;	<input type="radio"/>

6. How have you gathered information on SAPARD application conditions?

6.1. television;	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.2. radio;	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.3. newspapers, magazines;	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.4. business partners;	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.5. village clerk (village agronomist);	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.6. other:	<input type="checkbox"/>

--

7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that

7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal;

--

7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;

--

7.3. have incurred unnecessary expenses for applicants;

--

8. Which of the following tasks have you found the most difficult during the preparation of your SAPARD application (you can specify more than one)?

8.1. project planning;	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.2. finding the external expert;	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.3. gathering all the required data for the application (supplements);	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.4. gathering information necessary for the application;	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.5. the call for proposals had many conditions that were difficult to	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.6. financing the preparation of the application;	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.7. tight deadlines;	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.8. providing for own contribution;	<input type="checkbox"/>

9. How much did the preparation of the proposal cost?

9.1. investment under 50 million HUF:	<input type="text"/>	Ft
9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment:	<input type="text"/>	Ft
9.3. 100-300 million HUF investment:	<input type="text"/>	Ft
9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment:	<input type="text"/>	Ft
9.5. over 500 million HUF investment:	<input type="text"/>	Ft

10. How many days did it take to prepare the proposal?

10.1. within 10 days;	<input type="radio"/>
10.2. within 20 days;	<input type="radio"/>
10.3. within 30 days;	<input type="radio"/>
10.4. more than 30 days;	<input type="radio"/>

11. How many days did it take to acquire the proposal supplements (certificates)?

11.1. within 10 days;	<input type="radio"/>
11.2. within 20 days;	<input type="radio"/>
11.3. within 30 days;	<input type="radio"/>
11.4. more than 30 days;	<input type="radio"/>

12. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing official certificates.

12.1. well prepared, have provided everything in due time;	<input type="radio"/>
12.2. prepared to a certain extent, issuing certificates often delayed;	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>

12.3. not adequately prepared;	<input type="radio"/>
12.4. other, please specify:	<input type="radio"/>

13. Would you apply again to other programmes providing a set of conditions and opportunities similar to those provided by SAPARD?

13.1. yes - why?

--

13.2. no - why not?

--

Questions pertaining to the applicant

14. Please indicate the gender of the assistance beneficiaries if applicable (individual entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other cases, the gender of the head of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change following the implementation of the supported project, the time of implementation should be taken into account.

14.1. female;	<input type="radio"/>
14.2. male;	<input type="radio"/>

To be completed by undertakings only (questions 15-16)

15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supported undertaking established?

15.1. within the past year;	<input type="radio"/>
15.2. 1-5 years ago;	<input type="radio"/>
15.3. more than 5 years ago;	<input type="radio"/>

16. Please indicate the number of persons employed full-time or part-time at your agricultural holding/undertaking (at present).

16.1. in total		persons
<i>of whom:</i>		
16.2. female		persons

16.3. male	<input type="text"/>	persons
16.4. aged under 30	<input type="text"/>	persons
16.5. aged 30-39	<input type="text"/>	persons
16.6. aged 40-60	<input type="text"/>	persons
16.7. aged over 60	<input type="text"/>	persons

Questions pertaining to the supported development/proposal

17. The development you have carried out with SAPARD assistance is aimed at

17.1. establishing a new activity;	<input type="radio"/>
17.2. further improving an existing activity;	<input type="radio"/>
17.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade refurbishing);	<input type="radio"/>

18. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic sources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related tender may involve a different beneficiary.

18.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure under the SAPARD Programme;	<input type="checkbox"/>
18.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure under the SAPARD Programme;	<input type="checkbox"/>
18.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender;	<input type="checkbox"/>
18.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender;	<input type="checkbox"/>
18.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders;	<input type="checkbox"/>

19. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, until the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better.

19.1. the funding component of the development has not changed	<input type="radio"/>
19.2. the necessary own resources have increased:	<input type="radio"/>
<i>out of which:</i>	
19.2.1. contribution increase:	<input type="text"/> HUF or <input type="text"/> %
19.2.2. incl. loan increase:	<input type="text"/> HUF or <input type="text"/> %

20. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those planned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a consequence of the investment.

<input type="radio"/>

20.1. no;	<input type="radio"/>
20.2. yes;	<input type="radio"/>

Additional results:

--

To be completed by undertakings only (questions 21-23)

21. Please indicate whether the activity targeted by the supported development is

21.1. your principal activity, and you have no other sources of income;	<input type="radio"/>
21.2. your principal activity but you have additional sources of income, which in total do <i>not</i> exceed your income drawn from the supported principal activity;	<input type="radio"/>
21.3. your principal activity but you have additional sources of income, which in total <i>exceed</i> your income drawn from the supported principal activity;	<input type="radio"/>
21.4. not your principal activity, and the income drawn from it does <i>not</i> exceed 50% of the total income of the undertaking;	<input type="radio"/>
21.5. not your principal activity, and the income drawn from it <i>exceeds</i> 50% of the total income of the undertaking;	<input type="radio"/>

22. Please indicate the effect of the SAPARD assistance on the employment situation of your undertaking.

22.1. the employment situation has not changed;	<input type="radio"/>
22.2. the employment situation has improved;	<input type="radio"/>

which means:

22.2.1. the number of jobs created directly or indirectly as a result of the assistance:	<input type="text"/>	persons
22.2.2. the number of women employed:	<input type="text"/>	persons
22.2.3. the number of employees aged below 30:	<input type="text"/>	persons

23. Has the competitiveness of your undertaking improved as a result of the assistance?

23.1. yes, it has improved;	<input type="radio"/>
23.2. no change;	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>

23.3. no, it has deteriorated;	<input type="radio"/>
--------------------------------	-----------------------

Please specify briefly what you perceive as improvement or deterioration.

--

Questions specific to the measures

114. Improving the processing and marketing of agricultural and fishery products

24. To what extent were the activities supported under the "Developing rural infrastructure" measure of the SAPARD programme in accordance with the actual infrastructure development needs of your municipality/undertaking?

	<input type="radio"/>
24.1. substantially increased;	<input type="radio"/>
24.2. somewhat increased;	<input type="radio"/>
24.3. no change;	<input type="radio"/>
24.4. somewhat decreased;	<input type="radio"/>
24.5. substantially decreased;	<input type="radio"/>

25. As a result of the development carried out from the assistance, how has the production unit cost changed?

	<input type="radio"/>
25.1. substantially decreased;	<input type="radio"/>
25.2. somewhat decreased;	<input type="radio"/>
25.3. has not changed considerably;	<input type="radio"/>
25.4. somewhat increased;	<input type="radio"/>
25.5. substantially increased;	<input type="radio"/>

26. How have the marketing channels of the undertaking changed as a result of the development?

26.1. they have improved;	<input type="radio"/>

26.2. they have deteriorated;	<input type="radio"/>
26.3. no noticeable effect;	<input type="radio"/>

27. As a result of the development, has the undertaking ventured into new markets?

27.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
27.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>

28. If yes, which ones?

28.1. in new domestic markets;	<input type="checkbox"/>
28.2. in the current EU member states;	<input type="checkbox"/>
28.3. in countries outside the EU;	<input type="checkbox"/>

29. How has the sales composition of the undertaking changed?

before the development

29.1. domestic markets:	<input type="text"/>	%
29.2. current EU member states:	<input type="text"/>	%
29.3. countries outside the EU:	<input type="text"/>	%
<i>(in total</i>		<i>100 %)</i>

after the development

29.4. domestic markets:	<input type="text"/>	%
29.5. current EU member states:	<input type="text"/>	%
29.6. countries outside the EU:	<input type="text"/>	%
<i>(in total</i>		<i>100 %)</i>

30. Has the quality of products processed by the undertaking improved as a result of the supported investment?

30.1. substantially improved;	<input type="radio"/>
30.2. somewhat improved;	<input type="radio"/>
30.3. has not changed considerably;	<input type="radio"/>
30.4. somewhat deteriorated;	<input type="radio"/>
30.5. substantially deteriorated;	<input type="radio"/>

31. Has the implemented project helped the undertaking to attain stable quality improvement of the goods produced?

31.1. yes, product quality has become more stable;	<input type="radio"/>
31.2. no, production quality had been homogenous before the	<input type="radio"/>

31.3. no, further investments are needed to ensure homogenous product quality;	<input type="radio"/>
--	-----------------------

32. Has the investment resulted in better compliance for the undertaking with EU food quality regulations?

	<input type="radio"/>
32.1. yes, our products now fully comply with EU regulations as a result of the investment;	<input type="radio"/>
32.2. partly, further investment is needed to achieve full compliance;	<input type="radio"/>
32.3. our products fully complied with EU regulations already before the investment;	<input type="radio"/>
32.4. no, it has not contributed;	<input type="radio"/>

33. Has the investment resulted in better compliance for the undertaking with EU animal welfare regulations?

	<input type="radio"/>
33.1. yes, our undertaking now complies with EU animal welfare regulations as a result of the investment;	<input type="radio"/>
33.2. partly, further investment is needed to achieve full compliance;	<input type="radio"/>
33.3. our undertaking fully complied with EU animal welfare regulations already before the investment;	<input type="radio"/>
33.4. no, it has not contributed;	<input type="radio"/>
33.5. not applicable;	<input type="radio"/>

34. Do you know any existing quality certification schemes (trademark, geographical indication, products in the TRADITIONS–TASTES–REGIONS [TTR] Collection)?

	<input type="radio"/>
34.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
34.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>

35. Does your undertaking market any products with quality certificates (see above)?

35.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
35.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>

36. If yes, what is the proportion of quality certified goods out of the product structure?

36.1. before the supported investment:	<input type="text"/>	%
36.2. after the supported investment:	<input type="text"/>	%

37. What percentage of quality certified goods comply with EU product quality specifications?

37.1. before the supported investment:	<input type="text"/>	%
37.2. after the supported investment:	<input type="text"/>	%

38. Were there any legal offences in connection with work safety and sanitary conditions officially uncovered before or after the investment?

before

	<input type="checkbox"/>
38.1. yes;	<input type="checkbox"/>
38.2. none;	<input type="checkbox"/>

after

38.3. yes;	<input type="checkbox"/>
38.4. none;	<input type="checkbox"/>

39. The frequency of offences uncovered after the investment:

39.1. decreased;	<input type="checkbox"/>
39.2. no change;	<input type="checkbox"/>
39.3. increased;	<input type="checkbox"/>
39.4. not applicable;	<input type="checkbox"/>

40. Has the completed investment helped the undertaking to improve work safety and hygienic conditions for workers in accordance with EU standards?

	<input type="checkbox"/>
40.1. yes, the general work environment improved as a result of the investment;	<input type="checkbox"/>
40.2. no, further investments are needed to achieve any improvement;	<input type="checkbox"/>
40.3. the general work environment has partly improved as a result of the investment;	<input type="checkbox"/>

40.4. our undertaking fully complied with EU regulations already before the investment;

Date:

THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK

